UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,997 06/11/2004		Mark A. Johanson	22956-302 (MIT-169CON)	3996
NUTTER MC	7590 04/27/2007 CCLENNEN & FISH LLP		EXAMINER	
	DE CENTER WEST		WOO, JULIAN W	
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
QUARTE IN CO.				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	04/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/709,997	JOHANSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julian W. Woo	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of a specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the second state of th	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ag This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-7 in the reply filed on April 10, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pegg et al. (4,649,918). Pegg et al. disclose, at least in the figures, an apparatus for harvesting plugs from bone tissue, where the apparatus includes a tube (20) with an inner bore (28), a distal end having a cutting edge (26), a plurality of recesses (32) or apertures each extending extending from an inner surface of the tube to an outer surface of the tube and running towards the proximal end of the tube and beginning a predetermined distance away from the distal end of the tube, a tooth (34, 36, or 38) coupled to the distal end of the tube and extending towards the inner bore in a direction substantially orthogonal to the cutting edge, and a handle (34). Note: The statement of intended use ("for harvesting plugs from bone tissue," where the bone plugs comprise "articular cartilage and underlying bone tissue") has been carefully considered but

Application/Control Number: 10/709,997 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims patentably distinguishable over the device of Pegg et al., which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pegg et al. in view of Stavropoulos et al. (4,142,517). Pegg et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed, but do not disclose a sheath mated to the tube and including one or more markings on an outer surface thereof, the one or more markings corresponding to an amount that the sheath is inserted into bone tissue. Stavropoulos et al. teach, at least in figures 5 and 6 and in col. 1, line 7 to col. 2, line 51; a sheath (18) mated to an apparatus (40) for harvesting bone plugs, where the sheath includes markings (22) corresponding to an amount that the sheath is inserted into bone tissue.

Art Unit: 3731

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Stavropoulos et al., to include a sheath with the apparatus of Pegg et al. Such a sheath would allow harvesting of bone plugs that are undistorted by blood.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hallac (3,605,721), Simon et al. (5,346,497), and Reo (7,063,703) teach apparatuses usable for harvesting bone plugs. Greenberg et al. (5,743,916) teach a sheath for mating with drill bits and other instruments.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4707. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3731

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julian W. Woo Primary Examiner

April 25, 2007